Habitat Regulation Assessment (HRA) Screening Matrix and Appropriate Assessment Statement

PLEASE NOTE: Undertaking the HRA process is the responsibility of the decision maker as the Competent Authority for the purpose of the Habitats Regulations, however, it is the responsibility of the Qualifying Body to provide the Competent Authority with the information that they require for this purpose.

Stage 1 - Details of the plan or p	roject				
Neighbourhood Plan:	West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019 – 2029 (3 February 2022)				
	Pre Reg 15 Submission Plan Version 3 February 2022				
No houses indicated by adopted Chichester Local Plan	50 – figure met				
No houses indicated by Chichester Local Plan Review for the Neighbourhood Plan Area:	Preferred Approach Local Plan Review identified West Wittering parish for a parish allocation of 25 dwellings by way of draft Policy S5 Parish Housing Requirements 2016-2035; further testing of evidence has led to revised distribution strategy being tested against the evidence base for Local Plan Review December 2020 and December 2021 proposed 0 dwellings,				
	No figures have yet been confirmed for inclusion in the submission version of the Local Plan Review and may therefore be subject to change.				
	Please note the draft West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2029 is not identifying any sites for allocation for residential development (see draft plan).				
No houses proposed by the Neighbourhood Plan:	The draft West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2029 is not identifying any sites for allocation for residential development (see draft plan),				
Will the Neighbourhood Plan result in a net increase in residential development?	No, the draft West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2029 is not identifying any sites for allocation for residential development.				
Other than residential development, what other key policy areas does the plan cover?	The draft plan includes policies for preventing coalescence (Policy WW2), addressing new housing development in the parish (Policy WW3), provision of visitor accommodation and facilities (Policy WW6) and coastal enhancements (Policy WW10). The plan also considers an approach to design, principal residence requirements, economic development and retail facilities as well as public rights of way, biodiversity, community facilities and lighting.				

Status of Neighbourhood Plan:	Draft plan prior to submission to Chichester District Council; regulation 14 consultation complete.
European site potentially impacted - Is the plan area within: • 5.6km radius of a Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA	Yes
3.5km of Pagham Harbour SPA	No
6.5km or 12km of Ebernoe Common SAC / The Mens SAC / Singleton and Cocking Tunnels SAC	No
400m or 5km of Wealden Heaths Phase 2 SPA	No
The Chichester Harbour Fluvial Catchment	Yes
 An area served by a Wastewater Treatment Plant that discharges to Chichester Harbour 	No
Are any allocations within any of the above zones of influence?	No, the draft West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2029 is not identifying any sites for allocation for residential development.
	Reference is made to various habitats in the draft plan by way of Maps 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d and 8e.
Are there any other projects or plans that together with the plan / application being assessed could affect the site?	Yes, the Chichester Local Plan and all other neighbourhood plans within the Zones of Influence for Chichester and Langstone Harbour SPA
Lead Planning Officer: Valerie Dob	oson (Principal Planning Policy Officer)

Stage 2 - HRA screening assessment

Test 1: The Significance Test – The Qualifying Body to provide evidence so that a judgement can be made as to whether there could be any potential significant impacts of the development on the integrity of the SPA/SAC/Ramsar.

Without mitigation there would be a likely significant effect on Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA/Ramsar site due to recreational disturbance impacts. Strategic schemes of mitigation are in place for both this site but these can only considered at Appropriate Assessment stage (stage 3)

Stage 2 Conclusion - Would the proposal lead to likely significant effects on the integrity of a European Site? Yes

(If yes, continue to Stage 3)

Stage 3 - HRA - Appropriate Assessment

Test 2: The Integrity Test – If there are any potential significant impacts, the competent authority must be satisfied that adequate mitigation is in place to allow the AA to be carried out. Other than for Bird Aware Solent and the Joint Pagham Scheme of Mitigation, the competent authority must also be satisfied that all details which demonstrate any long term management, maintenance and funding of any solution have been provided.

The likely effectiveness and long-term financial robustness of both Bird Aware Solent and the Pagham Joint Scheme of Mitigation have already been examined by Natural England, so the Neighbourhood Plan does not need to provide its own evidence base on the effectiveness of these schemes. Appropriate contributions to these schemes of mitigation will be secured from new residential developments under Policy 50 and Policy 51 of the adopted Chichester Local Plan 2014-2029. In practice, for areas where the zones of influence overlap, then because residents of new development cannot access both sites simultaneously, a contribution is required only for the whichever scheme requires the greater contribution (currently Pagham, except for 5 bed room properties for which it is Bird Aware Solent). This contribution is then split between the two mitigation schemes. See https://www.chichester.gov.uk/planningadvice for details on the schemes.

Recreational Disturbance

Section 1: Supporting text

Conservation objectives

Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring;

- The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features
- The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features
- The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely
- The population of each of the qualifying features, and,
- The distribution of the qualifying features within the site

Qualifying features (Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA):

A046a Branta bernicla bernicla; Dark-bellied brent goose (Non-breeding)

A048 Tadorna tadorna; Common shelduck (Non-breeding)

A050 Anas penelope; Eurasian wigeon (Non-breeding)

A052 Anas crecca; Eurasian teal (Non-breeding)

A054 Anas acuta; Northern pintail (Non-breeding)

A056 Anas clypeata; Northern shoveler (Non-breeding)

A069 Mergus serrator, Red-breasted merganser (Non-breeding)

A137 Charadrius hiaticula; Ringed plover (Non-breeding)

A141 *Pluvialis squatarola*; Grey plover (Non-breeding)

A144 Calidris alba; Sanderling (Non-breeding)

A149 Calidris alpina alpina; Dunlin (Non-breeding)

A157 Limosa lapponica; Bar-tailed godwit (Non-breeding)

A160 Numenius arquata; Eurasian curlew (Non-breeding)

A162 Tringa totanus; Common redshank (Non-breeding)

A169 Arenaria interpres; Ruddy turnstone (Non-breeding)

A191 Sterna sandvicensis; Sandwich tern (Breeding)

A193 *Sterna hirundo*; Common tern (Breeding) A195 *Sterna albifrons*; Little tern (Breeding)

Qualifying features (Chichester and :Langstone Harbours Ramsar Site)

- Branta bernicla bernicla, Dark-bellied brent goose
- Charadrius Hiaticula, Ringed plover
- Pluvialis squatarola, Grey plover
- Calidris alpina, Dunlin
- Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit

Section 2 Assessment of Effects on Site Integrity

Assessment Matrix (Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA/Ramsar site – Recreational Disturbance)

Identification of the potential effects and their impacts on the Conservation Objectives

Potential Effect	Site Conservation	Qualifying features	Potential for Impact?	Relevant Mitigation
	Objective(s)			Measures
Decrease in	Maintain or	All for	Yes.	Bird Aware
survival rates	restore the	Chichester and	Indirect impact.	Solent Strategy
and populations	population of	Langstone	Without	
of over-wintering	each of the	Harbours SPA	mitigation, bird	The Strategy
birds due to	qualifying	and Chichester	populations	proposes:-
increase in	features.	and Langstone	would be	• a team of 5-7
disturbance,		Harbour Ramsar	reduced and/or	coastal rangers
caused by	Maintain or	Site	re-distributed by	to advise people
increasing	restore the		increased	on how to avoid
human	distribution of		numbers of	bird disturbance,
population (in	the qualifying		visitors leading	liaise with
combination with	feature within		to increased	landowners, host
other plans and	the site.		disturbance	school visits, etc.;
projects)				•communications,
				marketing and
				education
				initiatives;
				initiatives to
				encourage
				responsible dog
				walking;
				preparation of
				codes of conduct
				for a variety of
				coastal activities;
				• site-specific
				projects to better
				manage visitors
				and provide
				secure habitats
<u> </u>				for the birds;

Direct loss of	Maintain or	All for	No.	• providing new/enhanced greenspaces as an alternative to visiting the coast; N/a. No impact
habitat	restore the extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features.	Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA and Chichester and Langstone Harbour Ramsar Site.	Development within the zone of influence but outside the SPA boundary will not lead to loss of habitat, either alone or in combination.	so no mitigation can be provided.

Stage 4 – Summary of the Appropriate Assessment (To be carried out by the Competent Authority (the local planning authority) in liaison with Natural England

Conclusion

Having considered the proposed mitigation and avoidance measures to be provided in-perpetuity through:

• Contributions to Bird Aware Solent (Chichester Local Plan 2014-2029, Policy 50)

Chichester District Council concludes that with mitigation the plan will not have an Adverse Effect on the Integrity of the European protected sites.

Having made this appropriate assessment of the implications of the plan for the sites in view of those sites' conservation objectives, and having consulted Natural England and fully considered any representation received (see below), the authority may now give effect to the land use plan under regulation 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Natural England Officer:

Summary of Natural England's comments:

We note that no sites are specifically allocated for development within the West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan. Subject to information on the allocations and the avoidance and mitigation measures within the Local Plan being sufficient to ensure that the overarching Local Plan policies and allocations do not result in significant environmental effects (as tested via the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment). Natural England would advise that the Neighbourhood Plan in the absence of development is unlikely to have a significant effect. However, any allocated sites will need to conform to the requirements of the adopted Chichester Local Plan, Policy 50 (Development and Disturbance of Birds in Chichester and Langstone Harbours Special Protection Areas) and be subject to a project level HRA

Thomas Scott-Heagerty Lead Adviser Sussex and Kent Team

Date: 18 May2022

Does the draft West Wittering Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2029 (February 2022) require amending as a result of Natural England's comments? NO
If 'YES', what needs to change?